

## **Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam F-22 Plus Up Environmental Assessment**

The Department of the Air Force (DAF) announces the availability of the Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) and Draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)/Finding of No Practicable Alternative (FONPA) for the integration of seven F-22A Raptors from Tyndall Air Force Base into the existing fleet of the Hawaii Air National Guard (HIANG) 199 Fighter Squadron (199 FS) and construction actions to meet current mission requirements at Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam (JBPHH), Honolulu, Hawaii.

The Proposed Action is to increase the number of F-22A assigned aircraft to the HIANG's 199 FS from 18 Primary Aerospace Vehicles Authorized (PAA) plus 2 Backup Aircraft Inventory (BAI) to 24 PAA plus three 3 BAI. The purpose of the Proposed Action is to integrate the seven additional F-22A aircraft into the 199 FS current fleet. The Proposed Action would also include construction projects, as well as repair and renovation projects, within the HIANG lease area on JBPHH.

The Draft EA and Draft FONSI/FONPA are available for public review and comment for 30 calendar days, beginning **May 30, 2025**. Written comments must be postmarked or emailed no later than 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time (ET) on **June 30, 2025**, for consideration in the Final EA. The public may submit written comments to:

National Guard Bureau  
Attn: Ms. Kristi Kucharek  
3501 Futchet Ave  
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-5157

Or emailed to [NGB.CCA4F.NEPACOMMENTSorg@us.af.mil](mailto:NGB.CCA4F.NEPACOMMENTSorg@us.af.mil) with subject ATTN: Joint Base Pearl Harbor Hickam F-22 Plus Up EA.

**PLEASE NOTE:** Personally Identifiable Information (PII) of individuals who provide comments will be kept confidential and will not be released, unless required by law.

All comments submitted during the public review period will become part of the public record of the EA and will be considered in preparation of the Final EA.

Please see the below tips for submitting effective comments:

- Substantive comments\* that provide detailed information are the most useful.
- Make comments as specific as possible. Clearly identify your issues and concerns. Support assertions with an explanation that includes facts and references, if possible.
- Refer to specific page numbers or paragraphs in the document.
- Submit comments if you find an error in the analysis, need clarification or have new information that could change the analysis and conclusions.
- There is no minimum or maximum length for an effective comment. Although the comment box below does not have a character restriction, longer comments can be mailed to the address above.

Do not include any PII within the text of your comment (e.g., name, address, social security number, email address, telephone number, etc.).

\* Substantive comments are regarded as those comments that challenge the analysis, methodologies, or information in the Draft document as being factually inaccurate or analytically inadequate; identify impacts not analyzed or identify reasonable alternatives or feasible mitigations not considered by the

agency; or offer specific information that may have a bearing on the decision such as differences in interpretations of significance, scientific data, or technical conclusions.